

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,449	03/09/2000	Jun Hee Kim	40706.00009	2925	
7	590 04/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
BIRCH, STE	WART, KOLASCH & E	NGUYEN, CHANH DUY			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2675		
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/522,449	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chanh Nguyen	2675		

Before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Chanh Nguye	ı	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day a wing replies: (1) a otice of Appeal (w	s filing a Notice of in amendment, af ith appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection	n.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MON (b). ONLY CHECK 06.07(f).	THS from the mailin BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the co shortened statutory r than three months	responding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	within the time p	enou set lottii iii .	57 OFR 41.57(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the d	ate of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co				000000
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appe	al by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding n	umber of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>The new limitations "an integrated circuit or signal"</u> , "the integrated circuit chip including" and the peripheral circuit operating with a frequency state analog graphic signal" to independent claim 1 presented before. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)	"a peripheral circ gnificantly lower would require ful	uit coupled to an than a frequency	inverter for driving a b	pack light unit, responding to
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached	Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submi	ted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		tered, or b) 🗌 wopended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> reje y and was not ea	ctions under appe dier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of	the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT plac	e the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or F	TO-1449) Paper I	No(s). Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675	yIn

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office